

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,363	09/24/2003	Afif Osseiran	2380-781	4781
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 11/29/2007 (ANDERHYE PC		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/668,363	OSSEIRAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tuan H. Nguyen	2618			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 GIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim if apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 March 2007</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	on of Claims	·				
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application. Ia) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>37-39</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the carrection is objected to by the Example 1.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · ·			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(,				
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response To Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed on 09/07/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-39 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims status amended they are not original. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-11, 16-29 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al. (U.S PUB. 2005/0181832 hereinafter, "Ishii") in view of Teo

Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

et al. (U.S PAT. 6,996,418 hereinafter, "Teo") and further in view of Kostic et al. (U.S PAT. 5,751,704 hereinafter, "Kostic").

Consider claim 1, Ishii teaches a method for use in a radio communications system with a radio base station that includes multiple antennas associated with a cell, comprising: selecting multiple mobile radios to receive a transmission over a shared radio channel during a predetermined transmission time interval (page 7 [0113]).

Ishii does not explicitly show that transmitting information over the shared radio channel to the multiple mobile radios in the cell during the predetermined transmission time interval using multiple antenna beams.

In the same field of endeavor, Teo teaches transmitting information over the shared radio channel to the multiple mobile radios in the cell during the predetermined transmission time interval using multiple antenna beams (col. 5 lines 37-54 and col. 6 lines 8-44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, transmitting information over the shared radio channel to the multiple mobile radios in the cell during the predetermined transmission time interval using multiple antenna beams, as taught by Teo, in order for modifying the direction of focus of the directional transmission beam in order for each of the mobile terminals within the coverage area to receive the processed service information.

Ishii and Teo, in combination, fail to teach interference from the transmission appears as white noise in time and in space such that interference from the transmission is substantially equally distributed over frequency and in space.

Art Unit: 2618

However, Kostic teaches interference from the transmission appears as white noise in time and in space such that interference from the transmission is substantially equally distributed over frequency and in space (col. 3 line 60 through col. 4 line 3).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Kostic into view of Ishii and Teo, in order for packet-switching suitable for interference-limited wireless communication systems.

Consider claim 4, Ishii further teaches receiving reports from mobile radios of a detected channel quality of a pilot signal transmitted in the cell (page 3 [0028]), and scheduling transmissions to multiple mobile radios over the HS-DSCH for each transmission time interval based on the received reports (page 4 [0058]).

Consider claim 5, Ishii further teaches selecting one of the mobile radios to receive a transmission from one of the antenna beams based on the received reports (page 2 [0025]), and transmitting the information over the HS-DSCH using each antenna beam to each selected mobile radio during the predetermined share time interval (page 4 [0058]).

Consider claim 6, Ishii further teaches selecting an optimal coding and modulation scheme for each scheduled mobile radio to achieve an acceptable error rate (page 7 [0101]).

Consider claim 7, Ishii further teaches splitting shared radio channel resources among the multiple mobile radios using a resource allocation scheme (page 4 [0057]).

Consider claim 8, Ishii further teaches the radio communications system is a CDMA-based system where radio channel resources include scrambling codes, each scrambling code having an associated channelization code tree, and wherein the resource allocation scheme allocates a scrambling code to the shared radio channel and allocating one or more different channelization codes associated with the shared radio channel scrambling code to each antenna beam during the predetermined transmission time interval (page 4 [0066]).

Consider claim 9, Ishii further teaches the radio communications system is a CDMA-based system where radio channel resources include scrambling codes, each scrambling code having an associated channelization code tree, and wherein the resource allocation scheme allocates a different scrambling code for each antenna beam during the predetermined transmission time interval (page 7 [0111]).

Consider claim 10, Ishii further teaches the resource allocation scheme divides the shared radio channel resources evenly between the multiple mobile radios (page 5 [0082]).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 11, Ishii further teaches the resource allocation scheme divides the shared radio channel resources in proportion to each mobile radio's reported detected channel quality (page 5 [0082]).

Consider claim 16, Ishii teaches a radio base station for use in a radio communications system, comprising: multiple antennas associated with a cell for generating multiple antenna beams, each beam covering only a portion of the cell (fig. 2 abstract and page 4 [0060]); one or more transmit buffers (page 4 [0060]); and a channel scheduler for selecting multiple mobile radios to receive a transmission over a shared radio channel during a predetermined transmission time interval (page 7 [0111] and [0112]).

Ishii does not explicitly show that transceiving circuitry for transmitting information stored in the one or more transmission buffers over the shared radio channel via the adaptive antenna array to the multiple mobile radios in the cell during the same predetermined transmission time interval using multiple antenna beams to spread out the interference caused by the transmission.

In the same field of endeavor, Teo teaches transceiving circuitry for transmitting information stored in the one or more transmission buffers over the shared radio channel via the adaptive antenna array to the multiple mobile radios in the cell during the same predetermined transmission time interval using multiple antenna beams to spread out the interference caused by the transmission (col. 5 lines 37-54 and col. 6 lines 8-44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, transceiving circuitry for transmitting information stored in the one or more transmission buffers over the shared radio channel via the adaptive antenna array to the multiple mobile radios in the cell during the same predetermined transmission time interval using multiple antenna beams to spread out the interference caused by the transmission, as taught by Teo, in order to provide the power of the signals transmitted in each beam may be individually aligned so as to optimize the quality of the received signal and minimize interference resulting from transmission of signals in multiple beams in a same sector.

Ishii and Teo, in combination, fail to teach interference from the transmission is substantially equally distributed over frequency and in space.

However, Kostic teaches interference from the transmission is substantially equally distributed over frequency and in space (col. 3 line 60 through col. 4 line 3).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Kostic into view of Ishii and Teo, in order for packet-switching suitable for interference-limited wireless communication systems.

Consider claim 17, Ishii further teaches the interference from the transmission appears as white noise in time and in space in the cell and one mobile radio is selected for one of the antenna beams (page 2 [0024]).

Consider claim 18, Ishii further teaches the white noise is white additive Gaussian noise (page 2 [0024]).

Consider claim 19, Ishii further teaches the radio channel is a high speed-downlink shared channel (page 2 [0020]).

Consider claim 20, Ishii further teaches a channel quality controller for receiving reports from mobile radios of a detected channel quality of a pilot signal transmitted in the cell (page 3 [0028]), wherein the scheduler is configured to schedule transmissions to multiple mobile radios over the HS-DSCH for each transmission time interval based on the received reports (page 4 [0058]).

Consider claim 21, Ishii further teaches the scheduler is configured to select one of the mobile radios to receive a transmission from one of the antenna beams based on the received reports (page 2 [0025]), and wherein the transceiving circuitry is configured to transmit the information over the HS-DSCH using each antenna beam to each selected mobile radio during the predetermined transmission time interval (page 4 [0058]).

Consider claim 22, Ishii further teaches the scheduler is configured to select an optimal coding and modulation scheme for each scheduled mobile radio to achieve an acceptable error rate (page 7 [0101]).

Consider claim 23, Ishii further teaches the scheduler is configured to split the radio resources of the shared radio channel among the multiple mobile radios using a resource allocation scheme (page 4 [0057]).

Consider claim 24, Ishii further teaches the radio communications system is a CDMA-based system here radio channel resources include scrambling codes, each scrambling code having an associated channelization code tree, and wherein the resource allocation scheme includes allocating a scrambling code to the shared radio channel and allocating one or more different channelization codes associated with the shared radio channel scrambling code to each antenna beam during the predetermined transmission time interval (page 4 [0066]).

Consider claim 25, Ishii further teaches the radio communications system is a CDMA-based system where radio channel resources include scrambling codes, each scrambling code having an associated channelization code tree, and wherein the resource allocation scheme includes transmission allocating a different scrambling code for each antenna beam during the predetermined time interval (page 7 [0101]).

Consider claim 26, Ishii further teaches the resource allocation scheme includes dividing the shared radio channel resources evenly between the multiple mobile radios

(page 5 [0082]).

Consider claim 27, Ishii further teaches the resource allocation scheme includes dividing the shared radio channel resources in proportion to each mobile radio's reported detected channel quality (page 5 [0082]).

Consider claim 28, Ishii further teaches the resource allocation scheme includes dividing the shared channel resources using a non-linear relationship between two or more of the following: amount of channel resources, throughput, quality of service, and detected channel quality (page 4 [0049]).

Consider claim 29, Ishii further teaches the non-linear relationship is stored in a look-up table (page 4 [0049]).

Consider claim 32, Teo further teaches the multiple antennas include an adaptive antenna array (col. 5 lines 37-54).

Consider claim 33, Ishii further teaches the multiple antennas include transmit diversity antennas (page 2 [0018]).

5. Claims 2-3 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii in view of Teo and further in view of Schmid et al. (U.S PUB. 2004/0033791 hereinafter, "Schmid!").

Consider claim 2, Ishii and Teo, in combination, fail to teach the white noise is white additive Gaussian noise and one mobile radio is selected for one of the antenna beams.

However, Schmid teaches the white noise is white additive Gaussian noise and one mobile radio is selected for one of the antenna beams (page 2 [0024]).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Schmid into view of Ishii and Teo, in order to provide a method of normalization for multiantenna data channels with separate pilot channels and constant pilot/data amplitude ratio within a transmit time interval with normalizations by corrections of an initial normalization and/or with amplitude ratio estimation by averaging over an initial portion of the transmit time interval.

Consider claim 3, Schmidl further teaches the shared radio channel is a high speed-downlink shared channel (HS-DSCH) (page 2 [0020]).

Consider claim 12, Schmidl further teaches the resource allocation scheme divides the shared channel resources using a non-linear relationship between two or more of the following: amount of channel resources, throughput, quality of service, and

detected channel quality (page 4 [0049]).

Consider claim 13, Schmidl further teaches the non-linear relationship is stored in a look-up table (page 4 [0049]).

6. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii in view of Teo and further in view of Kanemoto et al. (U.S PAT. 6,928,296 hereinafter, "Kanemoto").

Consider claim 14, Ishii and Teo, in combination, fails to teaches detecting a change in radio channel conditions, and updating the look-up table based on changed radio channel conditions.

However, Kanemoto teaches detecting a change in radio channel conditions, and updating the look-up table based on changed radio channel conditions (col. 7 line 63 through col. 8 line 14).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Kanemoto into view of Ishii and Teo, in order to provide a base station apparatus and radio communication method that make it possible to prevent a major deterioration of dedicated channel signal reception quality even when an adaptive array is used for shared channel signal transmission.

Consider claim 15, Kanemoto further teaches the transmitting to the multiple mobile radios in the cell during the predetermined transmission time interval using multiple antenna beams prevents a flashlight effect from disrupting the channel quality detection performed by the mobile radios (col. 15 line 57 through col. 16 line 15).

7. Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii in view of Teo and Kostic and further in view of Kanemoto.

Consider claim 30, Ishii, Teo and Kostic, in combination, fails to teach the scheduler is configured to: detect a change in radio channel conditions, and update the look-up table based on changed radio channel conditions.

However, Kanemoto teaches the scheduler is configured to: detect a change in radio channel conditions, and update the look-up table based on changed radio channel conditions (col. 7 line 63 through col. 8 line 14).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Kanemoto into view of Ishii, Teo and Kostic, in order to provide a base station apparatus and radio communication method that make it possible to prevent a major deterioration of dedicated channel signal reception quality even when an adaptive array is used for shared channel signal transmission.

Consider claim 31, Kanemoto further teaches the transmission via the adaptive antenna array to multiple mobile radios in the cell during the same predetermined

transmission time interval using multiple antenna beams prevents a flashlight effect from disrupting the channel quality detection performed by the mobile radios (col. 15 line 57 through col. 16 line 15).

8. Claims 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii in view of Teo.

Consider claim 34, Ishii I teaches a method for use in a radio communications system with a radio base station that includes multiple antennas associated with a cell, comprising: selecting mobile radios to receive a transmission over a shared radio channel using a beam transmission sequence order (page 4 [0058]).

Ishii does not explicitly show that transmitting information over the shared radio channel using one beam to one or more mobile radios following the beam transmission sequence order for multiple predetermined time intervals; and performing beam switching in accordance with the beam transmission sequence order after multiple transmission time intervals so that the flashlight effect is avoided.

In the same field of endeavor, Teo teaches transmitting information over the shared radio channel using one beam to one or more mobile radios following the beam transmission sequence order for multiple predetermined time intervals (col. 5 lines 37-54 and col. 6 lines 8-44); and performing beam switching in accordance with the beam transmission sequence order after multiple transmission time intervals so that the flashlight effect is avoided (col. 5 lines 37-54 and col. 6 lines 8-44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, transmitting information over the shared radio channel using one beam to one or more mobile radios following the beam transmission sequence order for multiple predetermined time intervals; and performing beam switching in accordance with the beam transmission sequence order after multiple transmission time intervals so that the flashlight effect is avoided, as taught by Teo, in order to provide the power of the signals transmitted in each beam may be individually aligned so as to optimize the quality of the received signal and minimize interference resulting from transmission of signals in multiple beams in a same sector.

Consider claim 35, Ishii further teaches the interference from the transmission appears as white noise in time and in space (page 2 [0024]).

Consider claim 36, Ishii further teaches the shared radio channel is a high speed-downlink shared channel (page 2 [0020]).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 37-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop_____ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571)272-7882882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,363 Page 17

Art Unit: 2618

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2618

NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES